1 2	BEFORE THE FEDE	RAL	ELECTION COMMISSION	ION	
3 4 5	In the Matter of)	2011 MAY 16 P DISMISSAL AND CASE CLO UNDER THE ENFORGEMEN	SURE	
6	MUR 6384)	PRIORITY SYSTEM CELP	1 22	
7	San Mateo County Republican Party)		201	ר <u>י</u>
8	(Fed. Acct.) and Leiv Lea, as treasurer)	CENCTER	7r \(\frac{1}{2} \)	5.35
9	Michael Schwab)	SENSITIV	E 🗀	
10)		6	7.7
11 12	GENERAL	COU	NSEL'S REPORT	٦ پ	NAT NO.
13	Under the Enforcement Priority Sy	stem	("EPS"), the Commission uses form	al scorin	g
14	criteria to allocate its resources and decide which cases to pursue. These criteria include, but are				
15	not limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, both with respect to the				
16	type of activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may				
17	have had on the electoral process, (3) the legal complexity of issues raised in the case, (4) recent				
18	trends in potential violations of the Federa	l Elec	tion Campaign Act of 1971, as amer	ıded ("A	ct"),
19	and (5) development of the law with respe	ct to c	certain subject matters. It is the Com	ımission	's
20	policy that pursuing low-rated matters, cor	mpare	ed to other higher-rated matters on th	е	
21	Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise	of its	s prosecutorial discretion to dismiss	ertain c	ases
22	or, where there are no facts to support the	allega	tions, to make no reason to believe f	indings.	
23	In this matter, the complainant, Ms	. Ang	rini Kumar, states that she was electe	d treasu	er

of the San Mateo County Republican Party (Fed. Acct.) ("Committee") on June 9, 2010.

Subsequently, according to Ms. Kumar, the Committee's chairman, Michael Schwab, prevented her from entering the Committee's headquarters in order to "retrieve any checks, donations, or receipts." She also asserts that Mr. Schwab "refused to give [her] any financial accounting of the Lincoln Day Dinner that was held in April" and states that, as a result, she lacks access to the

According to its Statement of Organization, the Committee is a subordinate committee of the California Republican Party. The Committee files financial disclosure reports on a monthly basis.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MUR 6384 (San Mateo County Republicans, et al.) Case Closure under EPS Page 2

- 1 Committee's "financial accounting" and has been unable "to send a complete financial report" to
- 2 the Federal Election Commission, apparently in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). Finally,
- 3 Ms. Kumar states that Mr. Schwab interfered with the duties of former treasurer Elsie Gufler and
- 4 her assistant Kelly Lawler, although the alleged acts of interference are not specified. Appended
- 5 to the complaint is a summary of various acts allegedly committed by Mr. Schwab, a copy of the
- 6 Committee's bylaws, and email and written correspondence between Ms. Kumar and other
- 7 Committee staff.

In response, Committee treasurer Leiv Lea states that he was elected to his post subsequent to the events alleged in the complaint and has no knowledge of the issues addressed therein. Michael Schwab also filed a response in which he states that the complaint "arose from an internal political party dispute involving the committee leadership and the committee's two former treasurers," Ms. Gufler and Ms. Kumar. Addressing the "critical question" of whether the Committee's financial disclosure reports "accurately reflect [its] federal campaign activity, its receipts and expenditures," Mr. Schwab answers in the affirmative. He explains that, as a result of the controversy, the Committee's May 2010 Report, which was signed by Ms. Gufler, "did not reflect full anti complete information," but states that the Committee subsequently filed an amended May 2010 report on September 15, 2010, signed by Mr. Lea, that was accurate and complete. Mr. Schwab also asserts that none of the activity reflected in either the original or the amended May 2010 reports affected federal elections, nor did the Committee engage in any "direct federal candidate support" during that time period.

Appended to Mr. Schwab's response is a sworn declaration from Mr. Schwab reiterating the facts recited in his response and pledging to avoid reporting errors in the future. Also

A comparison of the original and amended May reports indicates that the Committee decreased "itemized contributions" to \$3,850 from \$4,250 (Detailed Summary Page, line 11(a)(i)), a decrease of \$400.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MUR 6384 (San Mateo County Republicans, et al.) Case Closure under EPS Page 3

1 attached is a sworn declaration from Ms. Lawler, who describes herself as a "paid professional

2 campaign reports preparer," and explains that she briefly resigned her position with the

3 Committee in - late August or early - September because she did not want to become involved in

4 the Committee's internal dispute. Ms. Lawler states, however, that once Mr. Lea was elected

5 treasurer, she worked with him to ensure that all of the Committee's financial disclosure reports

were accurate, which led to the Committee's submitting an amended May 2010 report.

The Act requires that political committees file accurate financial disclosure reports, disclosing cash on hand, receipts, dishursements, and other information. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). It appears that internal dissention affected the accuracy of the Committee's original May 2010 financial disclosure report, which apparently caused an over reporting of itemized contributions by \$400, see n. 2. Given the Committee's swift remedial action, its pledge to avoid similar reporting errors in the future, and the limited scope of the violation, we believe that further enforcement action is unnecessary. Accordingly, under EPS, the Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6384 as a low-rated matter and therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities as discussed above, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter as to the San Mateo County Republican Party (Fed. Acct.) and Leiv Lea, in his official capacity as treasurer. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Based on the information provided in the complaint and the responses, this Office also recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that Michael Schwab violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). Finally, this Office recommends that the Commission close the file and send the appropriate letters.

22

23

In light of Mr. Schwab's position as Chairman of the San Mateo County Republican Party, he appears to have no liability pursuant to the facts presented in this matter under 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Dismiss the allegation that the San Mateo County Republican Party (Fed. Acct.) and Leiv Lea, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
- 2. Find no reason to believe that Michael Schwab violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
- 3. Close the file and send the appropriate letters.

Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel

BY:

Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Ruth Heilizer

Attorney

Heilier Complaints Examination & Legal Administration